IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO : 16764 of 1996

Between:

M/s. Mayur Sales, Gowliguda, Hyderabad, Rep. by its Partner Sanjay B. Ahuja
.... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Addl. Commissioner (CT) & Joint Commissioner (CT) (Legal), A.P., Hyderabad.
2 The Appellate Deputy Commissioner of Commercial Taxes Panjagutta Divn., Hyderabad.
3 The Commercial Tax Officer, Maharajgunj Circle. Hyderabad.
4 The Asst. Commissioner (Commercial Taxes) I Enforcement

(Int.), Commercial Taxes Building, Nampally, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the impugned orders passed by the 1st Respondent in CCT's Ref.L.III(2)/2687/95, dt: 1-3-96 rejecting the stay applications for the asst. years 1994-95 (APGST & CST/Provl.) by a common order and for not passing any

order for the asst. year 1995-96 (CST/Provl. Apr. to June, 95) respectively, as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of the principles of natural justice and consequently direct the 3rd Respondent not to take any coercive steps for recovery of the disputed taxes and further direct to revoke the Sec.17 notice Dt: 18-7-96 issued on the Bankers of the petitioner in respect of the above said assessment years pursuant to the stay rejection orders of the 1st Respondent and pass such other order or orders as are deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.A.K.JAISWAL

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR COMMERCIAL TAX

The Court made the following

O R D E R (Per the Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, ACJ):

-

-

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____

(Bilal Nazki, ACJ)

20th June, 2005

_____

(G.Chandraiah,J)

vrn

To

1 The Addl. Commissioner (CT) & Joint Commissioner (CT) (Legal), A.P., Hyderabad.
2 The Appellate Deputy Commissioner of Commercial Taxes Panjagutta Divn., Hyderabad.
3 The Commercial Tax Officer, Maharajgunj Circle. Hyderabad.
4 The Asst. Commissioner (Commercial Taxes) I Enforcement

(Int.), Commercial Taxes Building, Nampally, Hyderabad.

5 Two C.Cs to the Government Pleader for Commercial Taxes,

High Court Buildings, A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

6 Two C.D copies.

-

-